

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

17.1049.120

Compliance Inspection Form Ex

stems SSTS)

Instructions: Inspection results be and a second	
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	RECEIVED
System Status	AUG 2 9 2013
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8-29-プロスプ	ZONING
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Noncom (See Upgr	pliant – Notice of Noncompliand ade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent three Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect Groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5)	at to public health and safety r ect groundwater
The second of th) – Noncompliant
Property Information	
Property Information Property address: 23545 N Part 164. 64.	17.1049.120
Property Information Property address: 23545 N Part lake Red Reason for Property owner: Des Tourness And Reason for Reas	inspection: Murdale
Property Information Property address:	17.1049.120
Property Information Property address:	17.1049.120 inspection: Marcale one: 849-5747
Property Information Property address:	inspection: Mundale one: 849-5749 ive phone:
Property Information Property address:	17.1049.120 inspection: Marcale one: 849-5747
Property Information Property address: 23545 N Part lake Reason for Property owner: Don Tonya Son't Reason for Owner's photor Owner's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulato	inspection: Mundale one: 849-5749 ive phone:
Property Information Property address: JS45 N Part lake Reason for Owner's photor Dwner's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulato	inspection: Mundale one: 849-5749 ive phone:
Property Information Property Information Property address: JS45 N Part lake Reason for Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's photo Owner's representative: Property owner: Day Tonya Son the Reason for Owner's photo	inspection: Mundale one: 849-5749 ive phone:
Property Information Property address:	inspection: Mandale one: Gug-S7 47 ive phone: authority phone:
Property Information Property address: 23545 N fair lake Reason for Owner's photor Dwner's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulatory authority: Regulatory at the system description: 1800 pal tank grades pipe of the system performance has been gathered to determine the composition of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown consistile abuse of the system inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	inspection: Marcale inspection: Marcale one: 849-87-47 ive phone: authority phone: Matalete (d) online status of this system. No onditions during system construction,
Property Information Property address: JS45 N Part lake Reason for Owner's photor Downer's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulat	inspection: Marcale inspection: Bug-57-47 ive phone: authority phone: Mala Le Color inspection: Inala Le Color I
Property Information Property address:	inspection: Marcale Inspection: Marcale Marca
Property Information Property address: J3545 N Paul lake Reason for Owner's photor Owner's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulato	inspection: Marcale Inspection: Marcale Marca
Property Information Property address: JS45 N Parl lake Reason for Owner's photo Owner's representative: Cocal regulatory authority: Brief system description: Comments or recommendations: Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Reason for Owner's photo Owner's photo Representative: Regulatory authority: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulatory authority: Regulatory authority: Representative: Regulatory authority: Representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Representative: Representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Representative: Representative:	inspection: Marcale Inspection: Marcale Marca
Property Information Property address: J3545 N Paul lake Reason for Owner's photor Owner's representative: Representative: Regulatory authority: Regulato	inspection: Marcale Dispection: Marcale Dispection: Grap - 57 47 Diverging phone: Buthority phone: Buthority phone: Dispection Dispection: Grap - 57 47 Grap - 57 47 Dispection: Grap - 57 47 Grap - 57

Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5 Compliance criteria: System discharge sewage to the ground surface. Yes No ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile Yes No or surface waters. System cause sewage to drain tile Yes No or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" enswer above indicates the system is an imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Verification method(s): Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes. Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 'fields off above soil dispensal system Yes Mo dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" enswer above indicates the system is an imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Verification method(s): Comments/Explanation Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Comments/Explanation Seenage pit meeting 7680.2550 may be Compliant devoked in cold ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their Yes Mo designed operating depth. Yes Mo Cherr methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) United to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Unite	rope	erty address:		Inspector initials/Date.
System discharge sewage to the ground surface. Yes No or surface waters. System discharge sewage to drain title Yes No or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes Sho dwelling of establishment. Yes Sho	_	Impact on Public Health - Cor	npliance component	#1 of 5
System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into develing or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System couses sewage backup into develing or establishment. Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Verification method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080 S256 mey be compleant? allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent protective of groundwater. Other rethods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Verification method(s): Verificatio	•			Verification method(s):
System discharge sewage to drain tile	-	System discharge sewage to the	☐ Yes ÆNo	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pit meeting 790s 2550 may be compliant f allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pit meeting 790s 2550 may be complianted Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. D. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No **System is failing to protect groundwater.*	-	System discharge sewage to drain tile	☐ Yes ☑ No	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, casespool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 mey be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* All No	-	System cause sewage backup into	☐ Yes 🔼 No	 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test
Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria:	-	Any "ves" answer above indicates	s the system is Ith and Safety.	 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compiliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Cother issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Examined empty (gumped) tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector \(\text{ Yes} \) No "System is failing to protect groundwater"	-	Comments/Explanation:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compiliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Cother issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Examined empty (gumped) tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector \(\text{ Yes} \) No "System is failing to protect groundwater"				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compiliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Cother issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Examined empty (gumped) tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector \(\text{ Yes} \) No "System is failing to protect groundwater"				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compilant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Explain: C. System is non-protective of groundwater. Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined construction records Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined analy integrity professor "Datach Attach States" Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	•	Tank Integrity - Compliance cor	mponent #2 of 5	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage at ank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Wind yes answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5 Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes answer is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation		Compliance criteria:		
Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Sewage tank(s) leak below their Yes No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) designed operating depth. Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 Any "in the sewage tank(s) leaks: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes No	Examined construction records
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		Seenage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.		designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☑ No	Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
System is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknow *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No Yes* No System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No		Any "yes" answer above indicated system is Failing to Protect G	roundwater.	Other methods not listed (see Comments Explanation)
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.		Comments/Explanation:	· ·	
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.				
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.				
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.				
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	.	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance co	mponent #3 of 5
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater 		damon	od cracked unsecurer	d or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Winknown
Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector 口 Yes* 以 No *System is failing to protect groundwater		h Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to	immediately and adve	ersely impact public health or safety. The Activity
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by interesting to protect groundwater *System is failing to protect groundwater				
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by interesting to protect groundwater *System is failing to protect groundwater				
		c. System is non-protective of ground v	vater for other condition	ns as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* Ø No
			•	
		⊏хріаін.		

Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	onent #4 of 5 Ø Unknown Ø Yes □ No	Verification method(s):	
Compliance criteria:		Soil observation does not expire observations by two independen unless site conditions have been	t narties are sufficie
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s	
beverage or loaging establishment:		☐ Iwo previous verifications (A	ttach boring logs)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s)☐ Unable to verify (See Comment	, no drainfield) ts/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	K Yes □ No	☐ Other (See Comments/Explanat 	ion)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules, Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths of elevations A. Bottom of distribution media	024"
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation	60"×
Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.	system is	D. Required compliance separation*	36"
Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMF	o* – Compliance	*May be reduced up to 15 percent in Ordinance. component #5 of 5 Not apple	•
the system operated under an Operating Permit the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in	Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required	
the answer to both questions is "no", this ompliance criteria	s section does n	ot need to be completed.	
. Operating Permit number:			
Have the Operating Permit requirements been	met?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and prop	mott		

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Peur 1 Lanks 50 - 24- book clay - brown drahidarea 24"

PERMIT MUST BE POSTED AT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE

Becker County Planning & Zoning 835 Lake Ave, P O Box 787 Detroit Lakes, MN 56502-0787

00:10:	110011011				-846-7314· F		1266 225	15	
Onsite Septic System Site Evaluation/Design Tax Parcel Number R12, 1049, 120 911 Address 23569 N. Parcel JK. R.A.									
Legal Description: Pear Lake EST. Lot 20 Section 12 TWP/30 42 Range 42									
Lake Name Pear Lake Classification Rec. Dev Township Name Lake Eurice									
Owner's	s Name <u>Do</u>	N 51	nith_	Addre	ess <u>204</u>	30 G.	Hwy 21		
City \bigwedge	etroit	Lakos,	Sta	nte/Zip <u>MAK</u>	66601 Ph	one Number	218-84	6-0822	
Number	of Bedroom	s	<u> </u>	Well Casing	Depth 1000	p well	Garbage Di	sposal (Yes) (No)	
				Depth of oth 100 ft of s	er Wells with ystem	hin <i>N/A</i>	Grinder Pur In House	np/Lift Station (Yes) (No)	
Type of Observation: Probe Pit Boring Original Soil (Yes) (No) Compacted Soil (Yes) (No) Proposed Design Depth to Restricting Layer 60 () Replace Septic Tank Maximum of Depth of System 24 (X) Septic Tank/Drainfield Perc Rate 7.7 Soil Sizing Factor 1.27 () Drainfield Only () Holding Tank () Lift Station Type of Drainfield (X) Standard (gravelless/ehamber) () Standard (rock depth) () Mound () At Grade () Pressurized Bed									
SOIL BORI	NG LOG			SOIL BORI	NG LOG				
DEPTH (INCHES)	TEXTURE	COLOR & MUNSELL NO.	STRUCTURE	DEPTH (INCHES)	TEXTURE	COLOR & MUNSELL NO.	STRUCTURE	Type of alarm Device on lift	
0-7"	SORDY	TOP. Soil	BLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	08"	Sardy	TOP Soil	BLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	Station or Holding tank	
7 <i>-38</i> "°	Sandy Loan	10YR 5/3	PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	8-34	Sandy	10 YR 5/3	PLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	Attach perc test	
38-60	Sandy	104R 5/4	PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	34-60	Sardy	10 yr 5/4	BLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE	Information if Required	
			BLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE				BLOCKY PLATY PRISMATIC NONE		
Name a	nd Address o	f Designer	Dan Schla	ude Ro F)		Phone £	247-6247	
	Number		_ Date of Site Eva			Signature of I	()	r Sludf	
Name of	Installer (if di	ifferent from D	esigner)	eanne	DAENITA I	MPCA N		ZNT ONL V*	
FOR USE BY BECKER COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT ONLY Any changes to the permit must first be approved by Becker County Planning & Zoning. No system shall be covered up									
without inspection by Becker County Planning & Zoning. *** Inspections must be scheduled at least 24 hours prior to time requested.									
Date Received 5/1/6 Application Fee 75 State Surcharge Total 75									
[] Application is hereby denied [Application is hereby granted to									
Order of: 1 lob' Motton 5/7/02 17383									
Signature of Becker County Qualified Employee This permit expires on									

*Dimensions of Lot *Well & Water Line Locations within 100 ft of System	be drawn to dimension or to scale: *Existing & Proposed Buildings *Distance from Property Lines *Distance from OHWM	*Easements & setbacks *Tank Access Route *Distance from buildings	*Scale - One inch =
			ZOCIITOTI
	Em.	1.000 gal below 120' 120	1"I.P. m all ends >40-
Distances to Well Distance to Building Distance to Property Line		teld Drainfield (actual) $ \frac{S'}{Q'} = \frac{f Q Q'}{f (S')} $ $ \frac{f Q Q'}{Q'} = \frac{f Q Q'}{f (S')} $ $ \frac{f Q Q'}{Q'} = \frac{f Q Q'}{f (S')} $ $ \frac{f Q Q'}{f (S')} = \frac{f Q Q'}{f (S')} $	4-50 ft. Runs 200 Lin. Ft. 10" havelless DRain Field w/ 4 Drop Boxes Tank size 1000 fm/ Lift station size 11/10 Drainfield size 600 500 ft. Pump HP 11/10 Date Installed 9-26-02
FOR USE BY		IRONMENTAL SERVICES D	EPARTMENT ONLY*
Certificate Is Hereby D Certificate is Hereby With property maintenance	Granted Based upon the Applic	ation, addendum from, plans, specific function satisfactory, however, this is	cations and all other supporting data. not a guarantee.

Signature
(Certificate of Compliance is not valid unless signed by a Registered Qualified Employee)

The site plan must be drawn to dimension or to scale:

- PERCOLATION TEST SHEET -

Depth of hole bottom:	Test hole lo	cation DRN	Field	Hole #	142 D	ate test hole was prepared: <u>4-19</u>	-02		
Method of scratching sidewall: Bell W/ Mile Depth of pea size gravel in bottom of hole:				_ inches	Diameter of hole	e: inc	hes		
Method of scratching sidewall: Ball WING Depth of pea size gravel in bottom of hole:	Soil Data fro	om test hole:							
Depth of initial water filling: ### above hole bottom Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: ####################################			depth, inches	3	soil texture:	soil colo	r		
Depth of initial water filling: ### above hole bottom Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: ####################################		-, -							
Depth of initial water filling: ### above hole bottom Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: ####################################									
Depth of initial water filling: ### above hole bottom Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: ####################################			·	 -					
Detend hour of initial water filling: #-19-BBM Depth of initial water filling:	Method of s	cratching sidew	all: BRS. W/	Nails I	epth of pea size	gravel in bottom of hole: 2	inches		
Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: Method used to maintain 12" of water depth in hole for 4 hours: Percolation test conducted by: Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: Time intes Time interval (MNUTES) START START Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: START Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: START Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: START MATER DROP CALCULATION 1/16 = .06 1/16 = .0	Date and ho	our of initial wat	er filling: 4	19-8AM_C	epth of initial w	rater filling: /2"above l	nole bottom		
Percolation test conducted by: Deal Schaules Percolation test started at /8 (am /6m) Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: S' inches Time NATERVAL WATER DATOR (Amount) PERC RATE PERCORD (Amount) PERC RATE PERC RATE PERCORD (Amount) PERC RATE PERC RATE PERCORD (Amount) PERC RATE PERCORD (Amount) PERC RATE PERC RATE									
Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: Maximum water depth above hole bottom during test: B Inches	Percolation	test conducted b	v: Dan 3	chlauderat	1	Percolation test started at 18	(am /pm)		
TIME INTERVAL WATER DROP (Maction) (Maximum t	vater denth abov	ve hale hattam	during test:	8" inch				
TIME (MINUTES) WATER DEPTH (Faction) (decimal) CALCULATION (1/666 Minutes) (pacinal) (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) (pacinal) (pacina	r r r	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1		1]		
START	TIME			DROP	I DROP	PERC RATE CALCULATION			
REFILL B			Ø.			12.113 88	1/8 = .13		
REFILL B			678	_1/8	1.13	TIME DROP PERC (Decimal)	3/16 = .19		
REFILL				/	/	$\frac{9}{\text{TIME}} \div \frac{1}{\text{DROP}} = \frac{9}{\text{PERC}} \mathbf{B}$	-		
REFILL B B B B B B B B B			²			(Decimal)	5/16 = .31		
REFILL DROP PERC 1/2 = 5				1/8	<u> </u>	$\frac{\cancel{O}}{\text{TIME}} \div \frac{\cancel{I}\cancel{J}}{\text{DROP}} = \frac{\cancel{O}\cancel{B}}{\text{PERC}} \mathbf{C}$ (Decimal)	1		
REFILL B		REFILL				$\frac{\cdot}{\text{TIME}} \frac{\cdot}{\text{DROP}} = \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\text{PERC}}$			
REFILL B		REFILL				TIME DROP PERC			
REFILL B 3 3 TIME DROP PERC 13/16 = .81				3/8	3.13	$\frac{20 \div 3./3}{\text{TIME}} = \frac{6.39}{\text{PERC}}$	1		
REFILL REFILL							3/4 = .75		
Ten Percent Calculation * Refill 20 5/8 Time Drop Drop			S	3	3	TIME DROP PERC	13/16 = .81		
B,C,D Largest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC × 0.10 =			<u>8</u> 5/8	2/6	<i>2.88</i>	$\frac{20}{\text{TIME}} \div \frac{2.88}{\text{DROP}} = \frac{6.44}{\text{PERC}}$ (Decimal)			
B,C,D Largest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC × 0.10 =	Ten Percent Calculation *								
Largest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC Smallest # of ABC × 0.10 = C, D, E Largest # of CDE Smallest # of CDE D, E, F Largest # of DEF Smallest # of DEF Smallest # of DEF × 0.10 = E, F, G Largest # of FGH Smallest # of FGH Largest # of FGH Smallest # of FGH X 0.10 =									
Smallest # of ABC × 0.10 =									
C,D,E D,E,F Largest # of CDE Smallest # of CDE Smallest # of CDE x 0.10 = E,F,G Largest # of FGH Smallest # of FGH Largest # of FGH Smallest # of FGH x 0.10 = x 0.10 = x 0.10 =	_	~ 0		'	w 0.10 m				
Largest # of CDE Smallest # of CDE Smallest # of CDE × 0.10 = E, F, G Largest # of EFG Smallest # of EFG	Smallest # of ABC				Smallest # of BCD				
E,F,G Cargest # of CDE × 0.10 = E,F,G F,G,H Cargest # of EFG Smallest # of EFG	C,D,E - =								
E,F,G Eargest # of EFG Smallest # of FGH Smalle	Largest # c								
Largest # of EFG Smallest # of EFG Largest # of FGH Smallest # of FGH x 0.10 =		of CDE × 0.1	10 =			Smallest # of DEF			
x 0.10 =		FEFG Smal	lest # of EFC	=					
Smallest # of EFG × 0.10 = Smallest # of FGH					Smallest # of FGH × 0.10 =				

^{*} If the top number in each set of boxes is larger than the bottom number then take another reading. If the top number is equal or smaller than bottom number, average the three numbers for the perc rate.